

## First Clinical Results with the VECATS Single-Lead System

P.R. BROFMAN  
Hospital Cajuru, Curitiba, Brazil

A.S. MENEZES  
Hospital Santa Helena, Goiânia, Brazil

J.C.P. MATEOS  
Instituto Dante Pazzanese de Cardiologia, São Paulo, Brazil,

J.R. SANT'ANNA  
Hospital das Clinicas, Porto Alegre, Brazil

M. TASKIRAN, M. SCHALDACH  
Department of Biomedical Engineering, Friedrich-Alexander University, Erlangen, Germany

### Summary

*Multi-chamber stimulation using floating electrodes of a single-pass lead has become an increasingly explored field in the electrotherapy of the heart, with potential applications for bradycardia, as well as low-energy tachycardia prevention and therapy. The aim of this investigation is to validate the performance of the novel vena cava-atrial stimulation (VECATS) concept. The new VECATS single-pass lead provides usual bipolar sensing combined with atrial pacing with respect to a counterelectrode in the vena cava superior (VCS). Measurements performed from the time of implantation to the 6-week follow-up show consistent P-wave amplitudes, stable atrial capture thresholds around 3.0 V, and a high safety margin of at least 140% between the atrial and the diaphragmatic thresholds. These promising initial results prove that this mode of pacing is a feasible method.*

### Keywords

Single-pass lead, dual-chamber pacing, ring electrodes, atrial stimulation

### Introduction

The efforts to develop simplified dual-chamber systems by using a single-pass lead have rapidly increased in the last few years [1-3]. Using the electrical far-field created by floating ring electrodes not only simplifies DDD systems, but also provides the medical professional with new options for antibradycardia and low-energy antitachycardia pacing therapy. Several approaches [4-11] to find a suitable way for single-lead DDD pacing with floating atrial electrodes have been attempted with different levels of success.

The vena cava-atrial stimulation (VECATS) configuration (figure 1) has been developed as a novel method for effective pacing with floating electrodes. The experience with focused electrical far-fields, gained with the OLBI configuration and atrial defibrillation techniques, has led to the idea of a new single-pass lead

providing high current density in the sinoatrial region. The cells in this region are assumed to have lower capture thresholds compared to other myocardial cells [12]. For this purpose, a single-pass lead with three floating ring electrodes in the atrial part was constructed. Its distal and medial rings provide bipolar sensing, while pacing is accomplished between the medial and the proximal rings. The proximal ring is located in the vena cava superior (VCS), resulting in a current path that is dense in the upper, sinoatrial part of the atrium.

### Materials and Methods

For the first clinical validation of the novel VECATS concept in 4 centers, 21 patients with severe AV conduction blocks (figure 2) and a mean age of  $59 \pm 22$




Figure 1. Vena Cava Atrial Stimulation (VECATS): The proximal ring in the VCS serves as counter electrode for atrial stimulation. Sensing is accomplished via distal and medial rings.

years were included in the study. Bipolar P-wave amplitudes and atrial and diaphragmatic capture thresholds for the VECATS configuration during several provocations were determined at implantation, during discharge, and at the 6-week follow-up.

**Implant Procedure**

The VECATS lead is available in two size configurations with respect to the distance between the lead tip and the distal ring. The appropriate lead size for the patient was determined, such that with the lead tip positioned in the right ventricular apex, the proximal ring electrode could be positioned in the VCS and the medial and distal ring in the high right atrium. The line intersecting VCS and the right atrium was located between the proximal (VCS) ring and the medial ring. The typical position of the rings is seen in figure 3. The adequacy of atrial electrode position was primarily guided by measurement of the atrial intracardiac electrogram (IEGM) amplitude between medial and distal ring electrodes. Atrial electrode position was regarded as acceptable if the atrial IEGM amplitude was >0.5 mV.

**Results**

The obtained P-wave values for implantation, discharge, and 6-week follow-up were  $1.6 \pm 0.6$  mV,  $1.7 \pm 1.1$  mV, and  $1.4 \pm 0.9$  mV, respectively (figure 4),




Figure 2. Distribution of conduction blocks in 21 patients.

showing no problems of sensing in the high right atrium. In 74% of the cases, atrial capture thresholds below 3 V at 0.5 ms pulse width were measured intraoperatively, while threshold values lower than 4 V at 0.5 ms were obtained in 95% of patients. Atrial capture was achieved in all patients with stimulation amplitudes below 4.8 V at 0.5 ms. The mean atrial threshold was  $2.9 \pm 0.8$  V during implantation. The course of atrial thresholds from implantation to the 6-week follow-up with VECATS can be observed in figure 5. The margin between atrial and diaphragmatic thresholds was at least 140%. As there are no threshold changes due to




Figure 3. X-ray showing proper positioning of the rings. The proximal ring is located in the Vena Cava Superior and serves as counter electrode, while the other two rings remain in the high right atrium for bipolar sensing. The line intersecting atrium and VCS is located between proximal and medial ring.




Figure 4. Obtained p-wave values during implant and two follow ups in supine position for 21 patients.

electrode ingrowth to be taken into consideration with ring electrodes, the stable course of the atrial threshold was previously expected.

With respect to the effect of position changes, the atrial and diaphragmatic capture thresholds in supine, sitting, and standing positions obtained during discharge and 6-week follow-up are summarized in figure 6. During discharge, the atrial thresholds were  $3.0 \pm 0.5$  V,  $3.1 \pm 0.5$  V, and  $3.3 \pm 0.6$  V for supine, standing, and sitting positions, respectively. The measurements during the 6-week follow-up showed no significant changes. Consequently, the effect of changes in posture can be neglected with VECATS since there are no significant variations in the atrial threshold.

Furthermore, the effect of the Valsalva maneuver on




Figure 6. Atrial and diaphragmatic capture thresholds (pulse width = 0.5 ms) obtained with VECATS at supine, sitting and standing position with normal respiration during discharge follow up.

threshold stability and safety with respect to diaphragmatic stimulation was observed. The results are presented in figure 7. Mean atrial thresholds of  $3.3 \pm 0.6$  V during normal breathing and of  $3.6 \pm 0.6$  V during Valsalva maneuver were obtained at the discharge follow-up in standing position. Again, a high safety margin and no significant changes were also observed after 6 weeks. A typical Holter tracing with effective atrial stimulation using VECATS is seen in figure 8.

**Discussion**

At different clinical centers, comparable atrial thresh-




Figure 5. Course of atrial and diaphragmatic threshold (pulse width = 0.5 ms) obtained with VECATS in supine position and with normal respiration from implant to 6 week follow up.




Figure 7. Capture thresholds (pulse width = 0.5 ms) for atrium and diaphragm obtained with VECATS during normal respiration and Valsalva maneuver in standing position at discharge follow up.




Figure 8: Typical tracing of single lead DDD pacing with VECATS at 4.8V @ 0.5 ms.

olds in the range of 3.0 V and a high safety margin of at least 140% with respect to diaphragmatic stimulation have been observed. These similarly low and consistent threshold values obtained at several clinics prove the VECATS configuration to be a feasible and reliable configuration for pacing with floating electrodes, while maintaining the reliable bipolar sensing properties of VDD single-lead systems. The atrial capture thresholds remain stable and within a high safety margin towards diaphragmatic stimulation. Since the ring electrodes do not contact the atrial walls, effects like high acute thresholds due to fibrosis or ischemia are eliminated. In consequence, no rise of atrial thresholds is observed. Furthermore, as a result of pacing via floating electrodes, the stimulation becomes independent from the point of fixation.

A major concern in the design of single-pass leads is the facilitation of the implant procedure. The clear implant procedure of the VECATS lead provides short implantation times yielding all the expected benefits of a simplified and practical dual-chamber system.

### Conclusion

With the VECATS configuration, stimulation of a large number of cardiac cells in the sinoatrial region becomes possible, providing reliable and physiologic pacing with floating ring electrodes of a single-pass lead. Further investigations have to be performed in order to assess information regarding system performance in the long term and during daily-life activities.

### References

- [1] Antonioli GE, et al. Single-lead VDD pacing. Chapter 16. In: New perspectives in cardiac pacing. Volume 3. Barold S, Mugica J (eds.). 1993, 359-381.
- [2] Antonioli GE. Single lead synchronous ventricular pacing: A dream come true. PACE. 1994; 17: 1531-1547.
- [3] Crick JCP. European multicenter prospective follow-up study of 1002 implants of a single lead pacing system. PACE. 1991; 14: 1742.
- [4] Wainwright J, Sowton E. Clinical evaluation of a single pass implantable electrode for all modes of pacing. The "Crown of Thorns" lead. PACE. 1983; 6: 210.
- [5] Bongiorno MG, Pozzolini A, Paperini L, et al. Single lead DDD pacing with an atrial dipole and ventricular tip electrode. New Trends in Arrhythmias. 1990; 4: 107.
- [6] Bongiorno MG, Bedendi N, and Multicenter study group. Atrial stimulation by means of floating electrodes: A multicenter experience. PACE. 1992; 15: 1977.
- [7] Hartung WM, McTeague K, Götte A, et al. Atrial pacing via floating ring electrodes - First results in humans using overlapping biphasic stimulation. 68th Scientific Sessions of the American Heart Association, Anaheim, USA, Nov. 1995.
- [8] Rey JL, El Ghelbazouri F, Hermida JS, et al. Biphasic atrial pacing using the floating electrodes of a VDD single lead pacing system. Eur JCPE. 1996; 6 (Supplement 1): 35-42.
- [9] Lucchese F, Halperin C, Ströbel JP, et al. Single lead DDD pacing with overlapping biphasic atrial stimulation - First clinical results. PACE. 1996; 19: 601.
- [10] Tse HF, Lau CP, Leung KC, et al. Preliminary clinical results with the single lead DDD system. Eur JCPE. 1996; 1. 6 (Supplement 5): 240.
- [11] Frabetti L, Sassara M, Melissano A, et al. OLBI pacing - The Italian experience. Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Pacemaker Leads. Antonioli, GE (ed.). Ferrara; Sept. 1997.
- [12] Fozzard HA, Friedlander IR. Comprehensive electrocardiography. Oxford; 1991.